Tumor-related trigeminal neuralgia (TRTN) is neuralgic pain caused by a tumor adjacent to the components of the fifth cranial nerve (CN); TRTN is present in approximately 1%–13% of patients with trigeminal neuralgia (TN).\(^2\)\(^{-}\)\(^4\)\(^\text{13,15}\) Unlike for the management of idiopathic TN, the goal of treating patients with TRTN is to control the tumor and relieve neuralgic pain. Resection of an adjacent tumor on the trigeminal nerve should be considered the first option and may provide excellent neuralgic pain relief if the patients’ conditions are clinically favorable for resection.\(^2\)\(^4\)\(^\text{12}\) Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) is an alternative approach for managing TRTN and avoids invasive surgery in select patients such as those who have reservations about resection, medical comorbidities, or inoperable tumor characteristics. Many studies have reported that SRS controls pain well and results in good long-term outcomes in TRTN patients.\(^8\)\(^\text{10,14,16,21,23}\) The primary target in all previous studies examining SRS for TRTN was the adjacent mass lesion causing the TN. When no pain relief or recurrent pain after...
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**OBJECTIVE** Gamma Knife surgery (GKS) represents an alternative treatment for patients with tumor-related trigeminal neuralgia (TRTN). However, in previous studies, the primary GKS target was limited to mass lesions. The authors evaluated whether GKS could target both the tumor and the trigeminal root exit zone (REZ) in a single session while providing durable pain relief and minimizing radiation dose–related complications for TRTN patients.

**METHODS** The authors’ institutional review board approved the retrospective analysis of data from 15 consecutive patients (6 men and 9 women, median age 67 years, range 45–79 years) with TRTN who had undergone GKS. In all cases, the radiation was delivered in a single session targeting both the tumor and trigeminal REZ. The authors assessed the clinical outcomes, including the extent of pain relief, durability of the treatment response, and complications. Radiation doses to organs at risk (OARs), including the brainstem and the cranial nerve VII–VIII complex, were analyzed as doses received by 2% or 50% of the tissue volume and the tissue volume covered by a dose of 12 Gy (\(V_{12\text{Gy}}\)).

**RESULTS** The median length of clinical follow-up was 38 months (range 12–78 months). Pain relief with GKS was initially achieved in 14 patients (93.3%) and at the last follow-up in 13 patients (86.7%). The actuarial recurrence-free survival rates were 93%, 83%, and 69% at 1, 3, and 5 years after GKS, respectively. Persistent facial numbness was observed in 3 patients (20.0%). There were no complications such as facial weakness, altered taste function, hearing impairment, and balance difficulties indicating impaired function of the cranial nerve VII–VIII complex. The \(V_{12\text{Gy}}\) in the brainstem was less than or equal to 0.24 cm\(^3\) in all patients. There were no significant differences in any OAR values in the brainstem between patients with and without facial numbness after GKS.

**CONCLUSIONS** The strategy of performing GKS for both tumor and trigeminal REZ in a single session is a safe and effective radiosurgical approach that achieves durable pain control for TRTN patients.
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an SRS targeting the mass lesion is observed during the follow-up period, repeat SRS targeting the trigeminal root exit zone (REZ) or trigeminal nerve may be considered to achieve additional pain relief; for example, SRS may also be used to target idiopathic TN.8

However, repeat SRS can be a cumbersome procedure that may place a substantial economic burden on the patient and society.19 Furthermore, pain recurrence has been reported in approximately 24%—47% of TRTN patients after SRS targeting of only the mass lesion.8,10,14,21,23 Therefore, we examined the outcomes of an SRS method in which both the tumor and trigeminal REZ were targeted in a single-session SRS for TRTN. To our knowledge, no previous reports have described this approach. This lack of data reflects the belief of clinicians, without definite evidence, that radiation-related complications may be more severe because of the effect of the larger radiation dose on adjacent vital structures, including the brainstem, facial nerves, and vestibulocochlear nerves, when both the tumor and trigeminal REZ are targeted in a single-session SRS.

This study retrospectively analyzed the outcomes in patients with TRTN who underwent Gamma Knife surgery (GKS) targeting both the tumor and trigeminal REZ in a single session. Further, we suggest the feasibility of our targeting method as a planning strategy for SRS of TRTN using a quantitative analysis of the radiation dose to adjacent vital structures.

Methods

Patient Selection

From January 1998 to December 2013, 280 patients with TN were treated with GKS at Seoul National University Hospital. Of these patients, those with TRTN were selected for analysis with approval from our institutional review board. The following inclusion criteria were used in this study: the patient 1) had an intracranial adjacent tumor on the trigeminal nerve that was confirmed by MRI; 2) had a typical TN on the side of the adjacent tumor, which was defined as an intense, sudden, shock-like, or stabbing episodic pain on the face along the unilateral division of the trigeminal nerve;3,7 3) was treated with GKS targeting both the tumor and trigeminal REZ in a single session; 4) had not undergone a previous transcranial resection of the tumor or microvascular decompression for neuralgic pain; 5) had visible trigeminal REZ on high-resolution MR images; and 6) had at least 1 year of clinical follow-up. Data from 15 consecutive patients who all underwent GKS in accordance with our selection criteria for GKS in TRTN were included in the present study.

Patients were considered for GKS for the following reasons: the patient 1) was 65 years of age or older, 2) had medical comorbidities such as severe cardiovascular disease or uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, and 3) wanted to defer surgical treatment. The median age of the patients was 67 years (range 45–79 years; 6 men and 9 women) at the time of GKS (Table 1). None of the included patients underwent a percutaneous procedure before GKS. The tumor type was diagnosed on the basis of radiological findings: 11 patients had meningioma, 3 vestibular schwannoma, and 1 trigeminal schwannoma. The median symptom duration before GKS was 6 months (range 1–50 months). All patient data were retrospectively collected using hospital chart review and telephone interviews with the patients.

Stereotactic Radiosurgery

All patients underwent a single-session SRS that targeted both the tumor and trigeminal REZ with the Leksell Gamma Knife Model Perfexion (Elekta Instrument AB). On the day of the treatment, the Leksell model G frame was applied to the patient’s head under conscious sedation and local scalp anesthesia. T1-weighted or T2-weighted, 3D, multiplanar, rapid-acquisition, gradient-echo MRI was used to obtain sequences with a slice thickness of 1.0 mm or 1.5 mm before gadolinium administration. Treatment planning was performed with the axial image supplemented with reconstructed coronal and sagittal images to enhance the accuracy with Gamma Plan software (Elekta Instrument AB). In all cases, the primary GKS targets were the tumor and the trigeminal REZ.

Multiple isocenters of the tumor were used to minimize radiation-related adverse effects on adjacent structures, including the brainstem and CNs VII–VIII. The median volume of the tumors was 1.7 cm³ (range 0.1–4.9 cm³). The following median prescription doses to the tumor, which were defined as a marginal dose at the 50% isodose line according to tumor type, were applied: 13 Gy (range 12.5–15 Gy) for meningioma, 12 Gy (range 11–13 Gy) for vestibular schwannoma, and 15 Gy for trigeminal schwannoma.

### Table 1. Patient baseline characteristics and radiosurgical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total no. of pts</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F ratio</td>
<td>6:9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in yrs (range)</td>
<td>67 (45–79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median length of follow-up in mos (range)</td>
<td>38 (12–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>38 (12–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological</td>
<td>19 (5–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of pts w/ involved CN V division</td>
<td>1 division 7, 2 divisions 6, All divisions 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of pts w/ tumor type on MR images (%)</td>
<td>Meningioma 11 (73), Vestibular schwannoma 3 (20), Trigeminal schwannoma 1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of pts w/ pre-GKS BNI score</td>
<td>IV 11, V 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median tumor vol in cm³ (range)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.1–4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median Rx dose in Gy (range)</td>
<td>To tumor 13 (11–15), To trigeminal REZ 80 (70–85)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pts = patients; Rx = prescription.
The trigeminal REZ for targeting was defined as 2–4 mm anterior to the junction of the trigeminal nerve and the pons, and this area was irradiated using a single isocenter with a 4-mm collimator helmet. The median prescription dose, defined as maximal dose, to the trigeminal REZ was 80 Gy (range 70–85 Gy). Figure 1 shows an example of GKS planning and follow-up MRI examinations.

Follow-Up Assessments

Clinical and radiological follow-up examinations after GKS of most of our patients were performed according to our follow-up protocol for benign brain tumors and TN: clinical follow-up at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, with annual follow-ups thereafter, and radiological follow-up at 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, with annual follow-ups thereafter. Two patients were lost during regular follow-up, and clinical follow-up data were obtained through telephone interviews with these patients. The median length of clinical follow-up after GKS was 38 months (range 12–78 months), and the median length of radiological follow-up was 19 months (range 5–60 months) in 13 patients for whom radiological data were available.

Neuralgic pain was assessed with the modified Barrow Neurological Institute (BNI) pain intensity score. The following scores for trigeminal pain were defined: Score I, no trigeminal pain without medication; Score II, occasional pain, not requiring medication; Score IIIa, no pain, continued medication; Score IIIb, persistent pain, but adequately controlled with medication; Score IV, some pain, not adequately controlled with medication; and Score V, severe pain/no pain relief. The initial BNI score was above Score IIIb in all patients. The patients were taking medications such as carbamazepine and gabapentin to alleviate the neuralgic pain. Pain improvement was defined as any improvement in the BNI pain intensity score compared with the initial BNI score. Failure of pain control was defined as any worsening in maximum pain after initial improvement during follow-up. Failure of tumor control was...
defined as an increase in the initial tumor volume of more than 15% during the follow-up period. Two patients who were lost to regular follow-up were excluded from radiological evaluations of tumor control because radiological data were unavailable for these patients.

The extent of facial numbness after GKS was evaluated with the BNI facial numbness score and considered a radiation dose–related adverse effect on the brainstem. None of the patients exhibited facial numbness before GKS. The following scores for numbness were defined: Score I, no facial numbness; Score II, mild facial numbness, but not bothersome; Score III, facial numbness, somewhat bothersome; and Score IV, facial numbness, very bothersome. All patients were also asked to describe their neurological status after GKS, especially about those faculties related to the function of the CN VII–VIII complex, including facial weakness, impaired taste function, hearing impairment, and balance difficulties. Three patients with vestibular schwannoma showed nonserviceable hearing before GKS, according to the American Academy of Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery hearing classification system.

Dosimetry Analysis

Quantitative analyses were performed to evaluate the effect of radiation dose on organs at risk (OARs), including the brainstem and the CN VII–VIII complex. Various parameters, including the radiation dose received by 2% or 50% of the tissue (D2% and D50%, respectively), and tissue volume covered by a dose of 12 Gy (V12Gy), were evaluated in the OAR analysis. Tumor coverage was defined as a dose that covered 95% of the tumor.

Dosimetry evaluations were conducted by a medical physicist who was blinded to the clinical information of each patient. All dosimetric data are presented as mean ± SD.

Statistical Analysis

The Kaplan-Meier method was used for analyses of actuarial recurrence-free survival rates for TN. The statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics software version 21.0 (SPSS IBM).

Results

Clinical and Radiological Outcomes

A comparison of the patients’ BNI scores after GKS with those before GKS indicated initial neuralgic pain relief in 14 (93.3%) of the 15 patients. The median length of time to maximal pain relief after GKS was 1 month (range 1–12 months), and in 9 patients (64.3%), the neuralgic pain improved within 1 month. Failure of pain control was observed in 1 patient in whom MRI scans showed a petroclival meningioma (with a 5.2 cm³ volume); however, tumor control in this patient was observed at the last follow-up. The BNI scores at the time of the maximal response after GKS and the length of time to the maximal response are summarized in Table 2.

During the follow-up period, pain recurred in 3 (21.4%) of the 14 patients who experienced pain relief after GKS: 1 patient’s BNI score worsened from IIIa to IV, and the 2 other patients’ scores increased from II to IIIb. However, in none of the patients was a failure of tumor control observed on follow-up MRI scans. The patient with pain recurrence and a BNI score of IV underwent several percutaneous radiofrequency thermocoagulations; however, the other 2 patients did not undergo any additional procedures, except for added medication-based treatment. The actuarial recurrence-free survival rates were 93%, 83%, and 69% at 1, 3, and 5 years after GKS, respectively (Fig. 2). No pain recurred in patients with a BNI score of I at the time of the maximal treatment response.

At the last follow-up, 10 patients (66.7%) had pain relief without medication, and in 2 patients (13.3%), GKS failed to control the neuralgic pain. Tumor control was achieved in 13 of the patients with available radiological data.

Complications

New-onset facial numbness developed in 4 patients (26.7%) at varying points during 3–30 months of follow-up. One of these patients had a BNI facial numbness score of IV, and the other 3 patients had a score of II. One of the 3 patients fully recovered without aggravation of neuralgic pain. Facial numbness persisted in 3 patients (20.0%) at the last follow-up. None of the patients reported symptoms related to impaired function of the CN VII–VIII complex such as facial weakness, altered taste function, hearing impairment, and balance difficulties. No new-onset radiological changes were observed in the brainstem or REZ on follow-up MRI scans, including T2-weighted sequences and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery sequences.

### Table 2. Summary of clinical outcomes in the 15 patients after GKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>No. of Patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial pain relief</td>
<td>14 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNI score*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from GKS to max response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 mo</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 mos</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 yr</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No response</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain recurrence after initial pain relief during follow-up period</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNI score at last follow-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent new-onset facial numbness</td>
<td>3 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* At the time of max response.
Results of Dosimetry Analyses

Table 3 summarizes the dosimetric parameters used in our planning strategies for the selected OARs. The $V_{12 Gy}$ to the brainstem was less than or equal to 0.24 cm$^3$ in all patients. The $V_{12 Gy}$ to the brainstem of patients who developed facial numbness after GKS was 0.15 ± 0.08 cm$^3$ (range 0.02–0.24 cm$^3$), which was not statistically significantly different from that of patients without numbness (0.15 ± 0.01 cm$^3$, range 0.13–0.16 cm$^3$) ($p = 0.571$). There were also no significant differences in radiation doses to other OARs between the patients with and those without facial numbness ($p = 0.489$ for $D_{2\%}$ and $p = 0.571$ for $D_{50\%}$).

Discussion

Pharmacotherapy has been used as a first-line treatment modality in patients with idiopathic TN. Several invasive procedures such as radiofrequency thermorhizotomy and percutaneous balloon compression have also been considered for controlling pain in patients with medically intractable neuralgic pain or adverse effects related to medication. These modalities can also be adapted for use in patients with TRTN; however, only temporary reductions in neuralgic pain are observed because of the pathophysiology of TRTN, in which the tumor directly compresses the trigeminal nerve. Therefore, resection of the adjacent tumor that is causing pain is likely the first-line choice for achieving durable pain control. This concept is reflected in the treatment of patients with TRTN with SRS; however, in previous reports, the primary target for SRS was limited to the tumor itself.

Researchers have previously considered various factors influencing the use of SRS for treating patients with TRTN. First, the vessel that is displaced by the tumor can be located near the trigeminal REZ, which acts as the offending vessel, similar to the etiology in idiopathic TN. Second, the relationship between reductions in tumor volume and pain control is controversial, and initial pain responses precede tumor shrinkage in most patients with TRTN after SRS. Kano et al. reported that tumor shrinkage was neither associated with pain relief nor with pain re-aggravation. Tanaka et al. also reported no detectable relationship between tumor shrinkage and pain relief. These findings imply that the strategy of targeting the tumor alone may be insufficient for durable pain control with SRS in patients with TRTN. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first report to evaluate the clinical outcomes of SRS when both the tumor and the trigeminal REZ are targeted in a single session.

Previous studies of SRS targeting of the tumor alone in TRTN patients have reported that the rate of initial pain response was 81%–83%, and the rate of initial complete pain resolution without medication was 33%–58%. Facial pain in the present study was initially alleviated in 14 patients (93.3%), which is a slightly better response compared with that described in previous reports. Our observed rate of initial complete pain resolution without medication (66.7%) was also similar to that described in previous reports.

The durability of pain relief after treatment is important for improving the quality of life in TRTN patients. Recently, the largest series of SRS of TRTN was reported by Tanaka et al. who studied outcomes in 31 TRTN patients with benign brain tumors who were treated with SRS that targeted only the tumor and were followed up for at least 1 year. The authors observed pain recurrence in 7 (46.7%) of 15 patients in whom the pain had initially completely resolved; the actuarial pain relief maintenance rate in that study was 80% at 1 year and 72% at 2 years after initial pain resolution. Squire and colleagues reported that the recurrence-free survival rate of TRTN patients with BNI scores of IV and V who were treated with SRS targeting only the tumor was 66% at 1 year and 53% at 2 years. In the present study, pain did not recur in patients with a BNI score of I at the time of the maximal response, and the actuarial recurrence-free survival rates were 93% at 1 year and 83% at 3 years. The rate of pain recurrence at the last follow-up was 21.4%, which was also better than those previously reported (24%–47%).

It is difficult to objectively assess the discrepancy in clinical outcomes between our study and previous studies of TRTN management with SRS targeting the tumor only. However, it is plausible that SRS targeting both the tumor and trigeminal REZ in a single session achieves durable pain relief in patients with TRTN.

There is no tight relationship between radiation dose to the brainstem and facial numbness developing after SRS. Balagamwala et al. reported that facial numbness was not statistically significantly correlated with radiation dose and extent to OARs in the brainstem, especially...
not with the maximal dose and $V_{12Gy}$. In the present study, the rate of new-onset facial numbness was 20.0% at the last follow-up, which was slightly higher than the rates reported in previous studies of SRS targeting only mass lesions. Patients with new-onset facial numbness and patients without numbness after GKS did not significantly differ in $V_{12Gy}$ to the brainstem. However, a reduction in the radiation dose for trigeminal REZs may be considered in TRTN patients when performing SRS targeting both the tumor and the trigeminal REZ in a single session. The value of $V_{12Gy}$ in all patients was less than or equal to 0.24 cm³, which may be considered the cut-off value to avoid new-onset facial numbness in TRTN patients after single-session SRS targeting both the tumor and the trigeminal REZ.

The present study evaluated the clinical outcomes among TRTN patients with typical neuralgic pain who underwent no other treatment before GKS. Our method of targeting GKS to both the tumor and the trigeminal REZ in a single session can be also applied as an alternative treatment strategy to patients with tumor regrowth and recurrent pain after resection.

As with any retrospective study, this study has inherent biases and limitations. First, our sample size was relatively small, which may have caused a selection bias. Although TRTN is a rare disease entity, larger clinical trials or case-matched studies are required to validate our results. Second, our dose plan can be applied only when the REZ is visible on high-resolution MRI scans. If the tumor causing the neuralgic pain is relatively small, its small size may interfere with the interpretation of several important outcomes, including pain control, and of the relationship between radiation dose and the development of facial numbness. Third, the clinical data of 2 patients lost to follow-up were obtained through a telephone interview, which may cause recall bias. Further investigations employing a prospective study design should be performed to validate the present results.

Conclusions

Single-session GKS for targeting both tumor and trigeminal REZ in treatments for TRTN represents an alternative and safe strategy for increasing initial pain reduction and improving the durability of pain relief without increasing radiation dose–related adverse effects in TRTN patients with visible trigeminal REZ. Radiation doses to the brainstem and to the circumjacent cranial nerves must be considered before GKS to avoid significant complications.
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